

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I rise to another point of order against the point of order raised.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Let him raise the point of order; then you may also raise another point of order.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Against that itself, against your permitting the Hon'ble Member to raise a point of order about a question which is already over.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Of course, I have allowed the point of order to be raised, and I think that should be the end of it.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—If that is your ruling, I am not questioning it. But if you allowed a point of order raised, then I am within my rights in rising to a point of order against the Chair allowing a point of order on a question which is already over

Mr. SPEAKER.—After all, let him raise the point of order and then you can also raise another point of order. So far as that question is concerned about allowing Sri Puttaramiya to raise a point of order, all that I can say is, I have allowed the point of order to be raised and I would not allow any Hon'ble Member to raise any question disputing the right of the Chair in permitting the Hon'ble Member to raise the point of order.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA.—The answer to (c) as printed here is "None so far" and the Minister has just now stated that several roads have been taken up. Now, have we to take the printed answer or the oral answer given by the Minister as correct?

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is in fact no point of order in this. Unnecessarily the members are trying to raise points of order, not only coming into difficulty themselves but also bringing the Chair to difficulty. It is no point of order and Hon'ble Members must be careful, before raising a point of order, to consider whether it is a point of order in fact. I cannot understand whether the Hon'ble Member has understood the reply at all. This reply has been given on a certain date and the Hon'ble Minister has been kind enough to point out that after the answers were

given, certain roads have been handed over to P.W.D.

Closing of Harijan Boys' Hostel at Chitaldrug.

Q.—1609. Sri K. KENCHAPPA (Hiriyur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the Harijan Boys' Hostel at Chitaldrug is closed for the last one or one and half years;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor;

(c) whether grants were being given to it and if so, the amount that was given each year;

(d) whether they intend to start this Hostel now?

A.—Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI (Minister for Education).—

(a) Yes, since January, 1956.

(b) Due to irregularities in the Management of the Hostel.

(c) Yes. (Statement is appended.)

(d) It is for the Management to start the Hostel.

Statement showing the details of the grants given to Harijan Boys' Hostel, Chitradurga.

Year	Amount of grant paid.
	Rs. a. p.
1938-39	... 463-0-0*
1939-40	... 569-8-0
1940-41	... 581-10-0
1941-42	... 544-2-0
1942-43	... 639-0-0
1943-44	... 832-15-0
1944-45	... 813-4-0
1945-46	... 955-10-0
1946-47	... 1,288-3-0
1947-48	... 1,417-6-0
1948-49	... 1,513-0-0
1949-50	... 1,604-6-0
1950-51	... 1,883-9-0
1951-52	... 2,126-10-0
1952-53	... 1,974-4-0
1953-54	... 1,815-14-0
1954-55	... 2,127-3-0
1955-56	... 183-10-0

*(Started at Hiriyur and shifted to Chitradurga during 1939-40).

1-30 P.M.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಉತ್ತರ (a) ದಲ್ಲಿ “It is for the Management to start the Hostel” ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನವರು ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್ ನಡೆಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಯಾವಾಗ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್ ನಡೆಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿಲ್ಲ. Irregularities ನುಲಕ ಸರಕಾರ ಕೊಡುವ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಬಿಂದುವಾದಿ ದಾಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಬಿಂದು ಮಾಡುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ನಾನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಗಾಂಟ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—ಹಾಗೇನೂ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ನಾನು ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು 23ನೆಯ ಜುಲೈ 1957ನೆಯ ದಿನ ಕೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಇದರ ಲ್ಲಿಯ (a) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ “Yes, since January 1956” ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಆಮೇಲೆ “whether grants were being given to it and if so, the amount that was given each year” ಎಂಬ (c) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ “Yes” ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹಾಗಾದರೆ 1957ನೆಯ ಇಸ್ವಿಯಿಂದ ಇದುವರೆಗೆ ಬಡ್ಜೆಟ್ amount sanction ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—ಅದು ನಮಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಪಟ್ಟದ್ದಲ್ಲ. ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನವರು ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್ ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತ್ರೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನವರು ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್ ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿಲ್ಲವಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—Irregularities ಮೂಲಕ ಹಾಗೆ ಆಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್ ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕಳೆದ ಎರಡು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಅನರ್ಥ ಸರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—ಅದೊಂದು private aided institution ಆಗಿದೆ.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—Is it not a fact that the Hostel has already been opened and that the Director of Public Instruction has given permission to re-open the Hostel for the second term?

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—It is up to the Management to re-open the hostel.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—What is the nature of the irregularities?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—ಅಕೌಂಟ್ ಸರಿ ಯಾಗಿ ಇಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಡ್‌ವಿಷನ್ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ರೀತಿ irregularities ಇವೆ.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—Was there any misappropriation of the funds?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—ಅಕೌಂಟ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ ಅಂದವೇರೆ misappropriation ಆಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಬಹುದು.

L.A.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—Have you taken action?

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—We have taken action. Accounts have been got audited and also refund has been asked for.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—May I know why there is so much difference in the amount of grant paid. In one year, that is 1954-55 it is Rs. 2,000 and odd and in the next year it is only Rs. 183.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—It all depends upon the strength of the students.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಲ್. ಕಬಾಡಿ.—ಯಾವ ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನವರಿಂದ ಈ ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿತ್ತು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—ಹರಿಜನ ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್ ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜಿಂಗ್ ಕಮಿಟಿ ಎಂದು ಇದ್ದಿತು. ಅವರು ಈ ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್ ನಡೆಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Who was the Chairman of this Managing Committee?

Mr. SPEAKER.—No, no, that cannot be asked.

Sri R. M. PATIL.—When the accounts are not submitted, it is considered as misappropriation. Is it a safe presumption to draw?

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—We are concerned with giving facts; we are not here to presume facts or to make any presumptions.

Sri G. VEERAPPA.—Is it not a fact that the staff investigating into this transaction have sent a F.I.R.?

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—I do not think any F.I.R. has been sent.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ F.I.R. ಕಳಿಸಿ ದುಡ್ಡು ತಿಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ಚಾರ್ಜ್‌ಷೀಟ್ ಕೊಡದಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ರೋಕರ್ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ಸಿಗರು ದುಡ್ಡು ತಿಂದಿರುವುದೇ ಕಾರಣ ಎಂಬುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಒಂದೊಂದೇ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆಯಾಗಿ ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಚಾರ್ಜ್‌ಷೀಟ್ ಹಾಕದೇ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವರಲ್ಲರೂ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ಸಿನವರೇ ಆಗಿರುವುದು ಕಾರಣವಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—ನನ್ನ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಅ ಇನ್‌ಫರ್ಮೇಷನ್ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಿದರೂ ಸಹಿತ ಬರೀ ಇಲೆಗ್ಯೂರಾರಿಟೀಸ್ ಇವೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಅದು ಯಾವುದು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಕೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

28

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖ.—ಅದ್ವಿಷ್ಠ ಇರೆಗೂ ಲರ್ ಅಗಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ರೆಕ್ಯನರಿಯಾಗಿ ಇಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗದ ಊರಿನಲ್ಲೇ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಆ ಊರಿನ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳನ್ನೇ ಅದ್ವಿಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದರಿಂದ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಬರ್ಬಡಿದ್ದಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ರೋಡಲ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ರನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಆ ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್ ಹುಟ್ಟಿದಲಾಗಾಯಿತು ಕೊನೆಯವರೆಗೂ ರೋಡಲ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ರನ್ನೇ ಸೇರಿ ಸುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿ ದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖ.—ಅದರ ವಿಷಯ ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಇಟ್ ಏಡ್ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ರೋಡಲ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ರನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸ ಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಇಟ್ ಏಡ್ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಡೆಸಿದ್ದರೆ ತಾನೆ ಕೌಂಟರ್ ಸೈನಿಂಗ್ ಆಪೀನರು ಅರೋ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದುದು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖ.—ಕೌಂಟರ್ ಸೈನಿಂಗ್ ಅಥಾರಿಟಿ ಕೂಡ ತಪ್ಪು ಮಾಡಿರಬಹುದು. ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಇಟ್ ಏಡ್ ಕೊಡುವಹಾಗೆ ಇಲ್ಲ.

Repair to the Road between Haliyal and Dharwar.

Q.—1700. Sri R. G. KAMAT (Ankola).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they are aware that the road between Haliyal and Dharwar is in a bad condition;

(b) whether it is a fact that the major portion of the road has been transferred to D.L.B., Dharwar, when it was in bad condition;

(c) whether they are aware that the D.L.B. is unable to repair this road for paucity of funds;

(d) whether they will retransfer the road to the P.W.D. so as to undertake repairs?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(a) Yes, in certain parts.

(b) At the time of transfer to the District Local Board, the road was in a fairly good condition.

(c) Yes.

(d) The road is proposed to be taken back to the control of the Public Works Department with effect from 1st April 1958 along with other such transferred roads.

Sri R. G. KAMAT.—What was the cause for damage?

*Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—There was no special damage as such. These roads were transferred to the D.L.B. by the Bombay Government for a period of 3 years and when we got them back they were not received in the same condition in which they were given.

Sri S. D. KOTHAVALA.—What amount was allotted to this road by the Bombay Government for its maintenance?

*Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—In Bombay the practice is that block allotments are made to the Superintending Engineer and he will allot funds for each road depending upon its requirements.

Sri S. D. KOTHAVALA.—Out of the block allotments, what was the amount allotted for this road and handed over to the D.L.B.?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—It was of the order of Rs. 1,000.

Sri S. D. KOTHAVALA.—What portion of the allotment has been spent by the Bombay Government?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Four miles in that area were under the management of the P.W.D. This portion is in a good condition while the D.L.B. portion was neglected for want of funds with the D.L.B.

Sri R. G. KAMAT.—What is the average traffic per day?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—45 tons per hour.

An HON'BLE MEMBER.—Is there any proposal before Government to asphalt this road?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—Plans and estimates in this behalf are ready and it is estimated to cost about Rs. 3½ lakhs.

Equation of Posts.

Q.—1724. Sri H. V. KOUJALGI (Sampagaon I).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the scale of pay of First Division Clerks in the Secretariat;